Comments by Rafael Repullo on

The Euro as a Reserve Currency for Global Investors

Luis Viceira

Spain in the EMU Conference

Banco de España, 27 February 2009

Introduction

- Survey paper of a few recent contributions
 - → Positive side: Many interesting topics and insights
 - → Negative side: No clear structure or unifying theme

Background

- Portes and Rey (1998): Possible implications of the euro:
 - → For the international monetary system ×
 - \rightarrow For the currency composition of portfolios
 - → For exchange rates and hence monetary policies ×
 - → For economic efficiency and welfare ×

Contents of paper

- Definitions of reserve currencies
- Conventional view: currency exposures should be hedged
- Alternative views on currency exposures:
 - → Hedge against real interest risk for long-term investors
 - → Hedge against stock or bond market risk
- Interest rate effects of currency unions
- Capital markets integration before and after the euro

Overview of discussion

- What are reserve currencies?
- To hedge or not to hedge
- Benefits (and costs) of currency unions
- Benefits (and costs) of capital market integration
- Concluding remarks

Reserve currencies

- Currencies held by central banks
 - → Does it matter? Why? What are the effects?
- Currencies used for trading in some international markets
 - → Does it matter? Why? What are the effects?
- Currencies that investors hold in their portfolios
 - → First theme of paper: Why hedge (or not) currency risk?
- Currencies whose adoption promotes capital market integration
 - → Second theme of paper: How important is the effect?

- → Campbell, Viceira, and White (2003)
- Setup
 - Portfolio allocation of long-term investor
 - Two assets: domestic and foreign short-term bonds
 - Domestic bonds are risky: reinvestment and inflation risk
- Main result
 - Currency exposure helps to hedge real interest rate risk
 - Non-reserve currencies depreciate with low domestic rates

Comment 1.1

- Why focus on variance of returns (and not on covariance)?
 - → Hedging is about correlation in returns!

Comment 1.2

- What happens with reserve currencies (dollar and euro)?
 - → Does the result apply to US and European investors?
 - \rightarrow Can we say anything with a six year sample (1999-2005)?

Comment 1.3

- Empirical regularity (negative correlation of asset returns)
 - → How can it be explained from a theoretical perspective?

→ Campbell, Serfati de Medeiros, and Viceira (2009)

Setup

- Two models: Global equity and global bond investors
- Exogenous asset holdings: how much currency exposure?
- Focus on nominal returns (unlike the other paper)
- Main results
 - Equity investors should <u>not</u> hedge reserve currencies
 - Bond investors should hedge all currency exposures
 - Euro is becoming a reserve currency

Comment 2.1

- What happens for endogenous asset holdings?
- What happens for investors in **both** equities and bonds?
 - → Results may change!

Comment 2.2

- Many things have happened during sample period (1975-2005)
 - → Fall in inflation and interest rates, arrival of the euro, etc.
 - → Probability distribution of asset returns may not be stable

Comment 2.3

- Before 1999 "Euroland" is basket of DE, FR, IT & NL
 - → Results should be interpreted with great care

Benefits of currency unions

- \rightarrow Hassan (2008)
- Setup
 - International asset pricing with countries of different size
- Main results
 - Larger countries have lower real interest rates
 - Currency unions lower member countries' real interest rates
 - Empirical evidence supports these predictions

Benefits of currency unions

Comment 3.1

- Is there a flip side?
 - → Lower rates may foster development of asset price bubbles

Capital markets integration

- → Viceira and Gimeno (2009)
- Setup
 - Decomposition of national stock market betas
 - Following Campbell and Voulteenaho (2004)
- Main result
 - Increase in cash-flow and discount rate betas after euro
 - Evidence of greater capital markets integration

Capital markets integration

Comment 4.1

- Effect significant for some countries but not for others (Spain)
 - \rightarrow Is there an explanation?

Comment 4.2

- Is there a flip side?
 - → Greater integration may facilitate contagion of shocks
 - → Increase likelihood of global crisis?

Concluding remarks

- On empirical international asset pricing
 - → Many interesting partial models
 - → How far from a comprehensive model?
- On theoretical international asset pricing
 - → How can we account for empirical regularities?
- On currency unions and capital market integration
 - → Are there any (significant) costs?